	,
	,
Defendants.	`
	(
	<u> </u>

TO THE HONORABLE SANDRA R. KLEIN, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE, AND TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL OF RECORD:

On September 7, 2021, Bombardier Inc., Bombardier Aerospace Corporation, and Learjet Inc. (collectively, "Bombardier") filed their *Motion to Dismiss Counts 1-3, 6-7, 12-20, 25, 31-32 of First Amended Adversary Complaint*¹ (the "Motion to Dismiss"). Because portions of the Motion to Dismiss and certain of the exhibits submitted in support thereof contain materials previously designated as confidential under the Stipulated Protective Order (Dkts. 143, 145), Bombardier hereby submits this Application and Motion for Leave to File Unredacted Motion to Dismiss First Amended Adversary Complaint and Exhibits (the "Motion to Seal") and will deliver redacted and unredacted versions of the Motion to Dismiss and exhibits thereto consistent with the Stipulated Protective Order and the Court's comments at the February 17, 2021 status hearing.

This Court previously granted a motion to seal portions of the Trustee's Proposed Consolidated Amended Complaint and First Amended Adversary Complaint and Redline and exhibits thereto in this action. See Dkts. 253, 262. Likewise, the Court previously granted a motion to seal portions of the Complaint filed in the related adversary proceeding styled King v. Cavic Aviation Leasing (Ireland) 22 Co. Designated Activity Company, Case No. 2:19-ap-01147-SK (the "Cavic Proceeding"). See Cavic Proceeding Dkt. 126.

Bombardier now moves pursuant to the Stipulated Protective Order, as well as LBR 5003-2 and the Court Manual § 2.8(b), in accordance with the Court's prior order in the Cavic Proceeding (Cavic Proceeding, Dkt. 88), to file the unredacted Motion to Dismiss and certain exhibits submitted therewith under seal, and that only redacted versions be filed on the public docket. Further, Bombardier respectfully requests that the Court prohibit parties who receive sealed, unredacted documents from

¹ Capitalized terms not defined herein have the meanings set forth in the Motion to Dismiss, filed contemporaneously herewith.

Case 2:19-ap-01382-SK Doc 280 Filed 09/07/21 Entered 09/07/21 11:32:27 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 6

republishing those documents or the confidential data contained therein in any way. Sealing is appropriate for the reasons set forth below and in the accompanying declaration from Bill Hantzis, dated September 7, 2021 ("Hantzis Declaration").

Through this Motion to Seal, Bombardier seeks to redact confidential and commercially sensitive information contained in: (a) the Motion to Dismiss; (b) the Aircraft Purchase Agreements for Planes 12-15 between Bombardier Aerospace Corporation and Yuntian 4 Leasing Company Limited, attached to the Declaration of Eric Fishman, dated September 7, 2021 ("Fishman Decl.") as Exs. E-H (the "Plane 12-15 APAs"); and (c) the Agreements for Payment of a Commission or Fee on the Sale of an Aircraft for Planes 2-5, attached to the Fishman Decl. as Ex. M (the "Plane 2-5 Representative Agreements"). Bombardier has limited the redactions to the minimum necessary to protect information relating to pricing, payments, sales commissions, and key competitive contractual terms, that, if publicly disclosed, would give Bombardier's competitors and other industry participants an unfair competitive advantage and otherwise undermine Bombardier's commercial activities and market positions.

All of the proposed redactions fit into categories of commercially sensitive information that the Court already authorized could be redacted and sealed, including: (a) the price of aircraft; (b) payment schedules; (c) delivery schedules; (d) the process for inspection and acceptance of the aircraft; (e) the consequences of delivery delays; (f) the party that bears the risk of delays from regulatory changes; (g) what constitutes "excusable" and "non-excusable" delay; (h) interest for any late payments; (i) termination; (j) remedies, including liquidated damages, in the event of termination; (k) the right of the manufacturer to deliver, and the purchaser to accept, an aircraft with "open delivery items" ("ODIs") that must be addressed after delivery; (l) the time for addressing any such ODIs; (m) the regulatory authorities that must issue certificates of airworthiness; (n) the delivery location; (o) the entities to which a purchase and sale contract may (and may not) be assigned; (p) warranty terms and conditions; (q) aftermarket support terms and conditions; (r) training of customer's personnel; (s) completion specifications; and (t) commissions to sales representatives. *See* Dkts. 253, 262.

Case 2:19-ap-01382-SK Doc 280 Filed 09/07/21 Entered 09/07/21 11:32:27 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 6

First, the limited redactions to the Motion to Dismiss pertain to confidential and commercial sensitive information that the Court has previously sealed in this action. See Dkts. 200, 262. As these redactions were previously authorized by this Court, the Court should again permit such information to be redacted and sealed.

Second, the proposed redactions to the Plane 12-15 APAs seek to protect confidential and commercially sensitive information that are substantively identical or similar to redactions the Court has already approved with respect to the APAs relating to Planes 1-5 and 8-9 which were filed as exhibits to the First Amended Complaint. See Dkts. 207, 262. Because the redactions to the Plane 12-15 APAs are consistent with prior redactions to the Plane 1-5 and 8-9 APAs the Court previously authorized, the Court should again permit such information to be redacted and sealed.

Third, the proposed redactions to the Plane 2-5 Representative Agreements concern commissions to sales representatives identical to the redactions previously authorized in connection with the filing of the First Amended Complaint and the exhibits thereto. See Dkts. 207, 262. The redactions are conceptually the same as the redactions this Court previously authorized, thus, the Court should again permit such information to be redacted and sealed.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above and in the Hantzis Declaration, Bombardier respectfully requests that the Court grant the Motion to Seal. Jetcraft does not object and the Trustee does not object to the proposed reductions or this sealing motion at this time.

Dated: September 7, 2021 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

/s/ Andrew M. Troop

31 West 52nd Street

New York, NY 10019-6131

Telephone:

Facsimile:

Telephone:

Facsimile:

Matthew S. Walker (CA Bar 101470)

Eric Fishman (admitted *pro hac vice*)

858.509.4000

858.509.4010

Andrew M. Troop (admitted *pro hac vice*) Carolina A. Fornos (admitted *pro hac vice*)

212.858.1000

212.858.1500

12255 El Camino Real, Suite 300 San Diego, CA 92130-4088

By:

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

2728

MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL MOTION TO DISMISS AND EXHIBITS

4850-0343-2954

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT In re: Zetta Jet USA, Inc., ADV. PRO. NO. 2:19 AP 01382-SK

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: **501 West Broadway, Suite 1100, San Diego, California 92101.**

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (*specify*): (1) Bombardier Aerospace Corporation, Bombardier, Inc. and Learjet, Inc.'s Application and Motion to File Unredacted Motion to Dismiss First Amended Adversary Complaint and Exhibits Under Seal; and (2) Declaration of Bill Hantzis in Support of Bombardier Aerospace Corporation, Bombardier, Inc. and Learjet, Inc.'s Application and Motion to File Unredacted Motion to Dismiss First Amended Adversary Complaint and Exhibits Under Seal will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On September 7, 2021, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

Matthew	Condon Brian.Condon@arnol Craig acraig@kslaw.com, mt Lyons john.lyons@us.dlapiper Troop andrew.troop@pillsbur states Trustee (LA) ustpregion	unson@kslaw.com;eripley@kslaw.com;mciatti@kslaw.com r.com, john-lyons-7790@ecf.pacerpro.com ylaw.com
☐ Service info	ormation continued on attache	ed page
bankruptcy case or addithe United States mail,	7, 2021, I served the following versary proceeding by placing first class, postage prepaid,	g persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in th g a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here ill be completed no later than 24 hours after the document
☐ Service info	ormation continued on attache	ed page
(state method for each September 7, 2021, I s or (for those who cons- follows. Listing the jud	person or entity served): Puserved the following persons are ented in writing to such services.	GHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL ursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (date) and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as ation that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the the document is filed.
☐ Service info	ormation continued on attache	ed page
I declare under penalty	of perjury under the laws of	the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.
September 7, 2021	Renee Evans	/s/ Renee Evans
Date	Printed Name	Signature

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.